

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,259	11/12/2003	Dean E. Meyer	MDG-004CIP	6867
49055	7590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
DANIEL J. DENEUFBOURG 109 WOODSTOCK AVENUE			LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW	
SUITE 100	STOCK AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLARENDO	ON HILLS, IL 60514		3727	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,259	MEYER, DEAN E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin M. Larson	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>12 November 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•		•
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	<b></b>	(0.75, 140)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/706,259

Art Unit: 3727

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I. Figures 1-4B;
Species II. Figures 5A-7;
Species III. Figures 8-9;
Species IV. Figures 10-13;
Species V. Figure 14;
Species VI. Figure 15;
Species VII. Figures 16-17;
Species VIII. Figure 18;
Species IX. Figures 19-22;
Species X. Figures 23-24;
Species XI. Figures 25-26B;
Species XII. Figure 27;
Species XIII. Figures 28-29;

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic. (It should be noted, however, claim 1 is broad enough to read on all species.)

Application/Control Number: 10/706,259

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Daniel J. Deneufbourg on July 11, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 3727

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin M. Larson whose telephone number is (571) 272-8649. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

Application/Control Number: 10/706,259

Art Unit: 3727

Page 5

free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JML 7/11/06

NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER